



CO2 Transport and Storage

overview and field management questions

ESI seminar, Alejandro Rodríguez Martínez

March 22nd 2022



Summary

- 1. HSE Moment
- 2. CCS Context
- 3. CCS projects generalities
- 4. Two Field Management questions
- 5. Discussion



Satartia CO2 + H2S pipe incident, February 2020

- Over 300 evacuated
- 46 hospitalizations
- Source Times
- Republished Summer 2021

- Ecologists Push back on CCS
- Need to:
 - Keep it humble on the technical
 - Work on the Communication









02. CCS Context

What is CCS?

8

Capture

Storage

International Energy Agency

Capturing CO₂ from fossil or biomass-fuelled power stations, industrial facilities, or directly from the air.

Use

Transport

Ø

Moving compressed CO₂ by ship or pipeline from the point of capture to

the point of use or storage.

Using captured CO₂ as an input or feedstock to create products or services.



- Recover CO2 from heavy industries
 - Pollutants: H2S, NOx, He, Ar, H2, CH4....
- Transport CO2 to storage facilities/assets
 - Liquid transport is preferred
- Use of CO2
 - Chemical, Drinks, Greenhouses, slaughterhouses...
- Inject CO2 into Depleted Reservoirs/Aquifers
- To be discussed later
- Later re-production and utilization?
 - Industrial Source of CO2



Permanently storing CO₂ in

onshore or offshore.

underground geological formations,

How much CCS?

| | OPERATIONAL | IN CONSTRUCTION | ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | EARLY DEVELOPMENT | OPERATION SUSPENDED | TOTAL |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Number of facilities | 27 | 4 | 58 | 44 | 2 | 135 |
| Capture capacity (Mtpa) | 36.6 | 3.1 | 46.7 | 60.9 | 2.1 | 149.3 |

COMMERCIAL CCS FACILITIES IN SEPTEMBER 2021 BY NUMBER AND TOTAL CAPACITY



TotalEnergies CCS TotalEnergies

- Projects in 5 continents
- Planned combined rate 20~40 MTPA
- Types
 - Native CO2
 - Native H2S + CO2
 - Process CO2
 - CCS
- Snøhvit (native CO2)
- Northern Lights (CCS)
- Aramis (CCS)

Facilities that have not announced their capacity are not included in this chart

PIPELINE OF COMMERCIAL CCS FACILITIES FROM 2010 TO SEPTEMBER 2021 BY CAPTURE CAPACITY

7 | 22/3/2022 - ESI Seminar

Who Does CCS?



OIL AND GAS CLIMATE INITIATIVE





- Oil and Gas majors decarbonization need
 - Portfolio leaning towards gas
 - Direct CO2 sinks like forest plantation
 - Industrial decarbonization CCUS
- CCS Industrial knowledge requirements
 - Large/uncertain/long term investments
 - Gigantic logistic management
 - Gas transport
 - Gas Injection Wells drilling
 - Gas injection fields management



Where to do CCS?



| | Pros | Cons |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Depleted reservoir | Good storage knowledge Lots of data and simulation models Reusable infrastructures | Low pressure Legacy wells risk Possibly congested area |
| Aquifer | High pressure No legacy wells risk No congested area | Brine production/disposal No Models & Poor data set No infrastructures available |















































February 14, 1990, by the Voyager 1 space probe from a Pale Blue Dot record distance of about 6 billion kilometers

Carbon Dioxide: Pressure - Enthalpy Diagram





CO2 vs CH4: P/T/H



- Injection Rate proportional to Bottom hole pressure
- Well control on Wellhead Flowing Pressure
- Min WHFP = 74 bara: subject to compo change & extr. temp. events



- A new generation of P/H tools is required to simulate from Surface to Reservoir
- **RISKS**: Inaccurate injection profiles and actual blowout risk due to material failure

- TotalEnergies
- Target Rate is very high: fill up the reservoirs 3 times faster than they were emptied with same # of wells
- High rate → High Bottom hole Flowing Pressure
- \rightarrow Massive flash at low reservoir pressure
- \rightarrow Rock cooling around the well Rock fracture risk
- → Well Integrity risk (Catastrophic)



- TotalEnergies
- Target Rate is very high: fill up the reservoirs 3 times faster than they were emptied with same # of wells
- High rate → High Bottom hole Flowing Pressure
- \rightarrow Massive flash at low reservoir pressure
- \rightarrow Rock cooling around the well Rock fracture risk
- → Well Integrity risk (Catastrophic)



٠

- Target Rate is very high: fill up the reservoirs 3 times faster than they were emptied with same # of wells
 - High rate \rightarrow High Bottom hole Flowing Pressure
- \rightarrow Massive flash at low reservoir pressure
- \rightarrow Rock cooling around the well Rock fracture risk
- → Well Integrity risk (Catastrophic)
- Implement Pressure restrictions in the well to limit BHFP



۲

- Target Rate is very high: fill up the reservoirs 3 times faster than they were emptied with same # of wells
 - High rate \rightarrow High Bottom hole Flowing Pressure
- \rightarrow Massive flash at low reservoir pressure
- \rightarrow Rock cooling around the well Rock fracture risk

Time

- → Well Integrity risk (Catastrophic)
- Implement Pressure restrictions in the well to limit BHFP
- Depth Later, when the Reservoir pressure rises, injection drops to zero and interventions are required!!! $Min \leftarrow WHFP \rightarrow Max$



۲

- Target Rate is very high: fill up the reservoirs 3 times faster than they were emptied with same # of wells
 - High rate \rightarrow High Bottom hole Flowing Pressure
- \rightarrow Massive flash at low reservoir pressure
- \rightarrow Rock cooling around the well Rock fracture risk
- → Well Integrity risk (Catastrophic)
- Implement Pressure restrictions in the well to limit BHFP



- Target Rate is very high: fill up the reservoirs 3 times faster than they were emptied with same # of wells
- High rate → High Bottom hole Flowing Pressure
- \rightarrow Massive flash at low reservoir pressure
- \rightarrow Rock cooling around the well Rock fracture risk
- → Well Integrity risk (Catastrophic)
- Implement Pressure restrictions in the well to limit BHFP
- Depth Later, when the Reservoir pressure rises, injection drops to zero and interventions are required!!! $Min \leftarrow WHFP \rightarrow Max$











CO2 Supply to the Injection system

- Possibly combined gas by pipe and cryogenic by boat phases
- Cryogenic downloaded to terminal tanks \rightarrow complement gas pipe
- Gas pipe directly to injection or vented
- Uncertain rates from both sources:
 - Boats schedule?
 - Boat sizes vs number of boats?
 - Storage tanks volume?
 - Maximum pump rate?
 - Tanks management strategy?
 - Venting vs investment?
 - Export rate stability: Definition and



RF = RG + RC

Stored Vol = $\sum_{Truncated} RB - RC$







• 1 tank = 15 Million \$ in CAPEX:

Optimize on number and size of boats, number and size of tanks, tank management strategy, min/max pump rates in order to minimize the vented CO2 and the rate variability around a target in the hourly scale

- Solutions currently implemented internally at TotalEnergies
- Cooperation with CMU EWO in order to explore Stochastic Multiperiod optimization problems
 29 | 22/3/2022 ESI Seminar

Wells selection on target injection rate

- **RF** changes → Well rate changes
- → "Slow" well stabilization
- \rightarrow Wells interdependence / System stability
- \rightarrow Limited working range per well
- **R**_F fluctuates hourly, under stress scenarios, seasonally...
- Wells usage \rightarrow reservoirs occupation (fluid distribution over storage)
- Restricted well rates make it hard to match a given target
- Well action: chokes operations, well open/close, smart completion actuations
- Very successful cooperation with CMU EWO





Wells selection on target injection rate

- Monthly average targets give a false sense of solution
- Stress scenarios must be considered at excess and shortage rates
- Very successful cooperation with CMU EWO
- Multiperiod optimization MINLP
- Patented solutions exist in TotalEnergies





Monthly average target rates

Wells selection on target injection rate

- Stochastic multiperiod optimization version of the MINLP
- Terminal rate variations + partners rate variations + 100's of pipe kilometers
- Time scale dependent





Disclaimer and copyright reservation



Definition - TotalEnergies / Company

The entities in which TotalEnergies SE directly or indirectly holds an interest are separate and independent legal entities. The terms "TotalEnergies", "TotalEnergies company" and "Company" used in this document are used to refer to TotalEnergies SE and its affiliates included in the scope of consolidation. Similarly, the terms "we", "us", "our" may also be used to refer to these entities or their employees. It cannot be inferred from the use of these expressions that TotalEnergies SE or any of its affiliates is involved in the business or management of any other company of the TotalEnergies company.

Disclaimer

This presentation may include forward-looking statement within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, business, strategy and plans of TotalEnergies that are subject to risk factors and uncertainties caused by changes in, without limitation, technological development and innovation, supply sources, legal framework, market conditions, political or economic events.

TotalEnergies does not assume any obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information on factors which could affect the company's financial results is provided in documents filed by TotalEnergies with the French *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* and the US Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, no reliance may be placed on the accuracy or correctness of any such statements.

Copyright

All rights are reserved and all material in this presentation may not be reproduced without the express written permission of TotalEnergies.

